

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,234	11/12/2003	Douglas G. Chase	3055.2.1	6399	
75	590 09/20/2005		EXAMINER		
STARKWEATHER & ASSOCIATES SUITE 200			FRECH, KARL D		
9035 SOUTH 1300 EAST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SANDY, UT	84094		2876		

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		Application No.		(An)			
		10/706,234	CHASE, DOUGLAS G.	(V			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Karl D. Frech	2876				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	-			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAPAISON OF A COMMENT OF THE MAILING DAPAISON OF THE MAILING DAPAISON OF THE MAILING DAPAISON OF THE MAILING DAPAISON OF THE MAILING THE MA	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ju	uly 2005.					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		N.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	o in this ivational Stage				
* (application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ad				
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot receive	iu.				
Attachmer	• •						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:							
0.0-1113	Imdomati Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/706,234 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

1. Applicant's response filed 7/5/05 has been considered.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanfield US 2002/0069093A1 in view of Vivadelli et al US 2004/0267623A1. Stanfield discloses an electronic reservation referral system and method that provides information about a rental space ([0022] and throughout) that allows a user to select and view the information via the Internet [0039] through such means as an Internet booking service. The user can select information about a hotel, hotel services, restaurant, historic site, and other areas of attraction, and fuel providers (i.e. propane) [0026] [0102]. Confirmation of reservation [0091] and on line check-in and check-out [0097] is provided. It is disclosed that the user can print an invoice of a transaction [0088]. Stanfield further discloses that a user has access to see a presentation of a facility [0095] but does not specifically disclose providing a map or picture of either the rental space or the surrounding scenery. However, Official Notice that presenting pictures of facilities and maps to facilities are old and well known. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to show a user of the system of Stanfield a picture of the facility so that the user may see aesthetic components of the facility, thus allowing the facility the opportunity to "impress" a

Application/Control Number: 10/706,234

Art Unit: 2876

patron. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a map of the facility's location in order to show the patron how to get to the facility. (It is noted that applicant has not traversed the examiner's holding of Official Notice and thus that presenting pictures of facilities and maps to facilities is now considered admitted prior art.) Stanfield does not disclose the kiosk as now claimed.

Vivadelli discloses a kiosk for making reservations [0133].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a kiosk as taught by Vivadelli in the system of Stanfield. This would allow for a customer to self check-in at a remote location, thus increasing the convenience of the system.

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims listed above have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/706,234

Art Unit: 2876

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D. Frech whose telephone number is (571) 272-2390. The examiner can normally be reached on maxi-flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl D Frech

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2876
